

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,679	INCH, GORDON R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dmitry Suhol	3725	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Dmitry Suhol.

(3) _____.

(2) D. Walker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, 16 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Gombi '347 and Williams '550

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants representative and the examiner agreed to include the limitation of a resilient member functioning to allow radial movement of the punch and/or retainer ring in order to distinguish applicants invention over prior art

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)